

# WHAT IS THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THIS WEBSITE AND Wilhem REICH's PRINCIPLES?

Carles UDINA i COBO 2008-06-25

It is an act of **justice and respect** to recognize the important contributions made by W. REICH (1897-1957), especially in the first part of his life and in an environment of obvious insalubrity and social ignorance, and also scientific. This is the most important and invariable thing, independently from the fact that in the last period of his life he adopted extreme mistaken and/or absurd positions (the "Orgon"), which were probably the consequence of the repeated persecutions he suffered for having expressed the first ones. An "(intellectual) escape forward" can be a simple reaction of the stress caused by his continued persecution in real life.

REICH was banned from diverse intellectual and political tendencies from the age of 33, simply for defending his correct intuitions. He had to escape from the facism in Germany, he escaped from Norway too and was imprisoned in the USA. Finally, he died in prison without having ever been tried, possibly murdered by the FBI during the witch-hunt of the McCarthyist "inquisition". A shameful end **never repaired by the North-American Government**, which is one of the many other scourges accumulated by the country that is allegedly the most free and democratic of the world. We have to bear in mind that countries like Canada and Australia have publicly apologized for the Indian/ aboriginal genocides, whilst the USA maintains its Indian "reserves" and even lately have created new ones (Guatnamo, prison-aeroplanes, ...). We have to add that the Spanish Government hasn't been able yet to repudiated its facist past, facism which also outlawed REICH during the Franco repression.

Nearly seventy years after having stated them (1938) and with the accumulation of knowledge that we possess these days, it is very easy to highlight the lack of rigour of the energetic principles of REICH. However, in its day, 1941, not even somebody as popular and well regarded within the scientific domain (with or without reason) like EINSTEIN\* had the courage to point it out. If you think about it, to criticize REICH because of his "delusions" is as **coward** as to reproche a genius in his last days the fact that he can no longer articulate a sensible word and/or that he wets or dirties the bed.

\* It is also possible that EINSTEIN had other reasons not to position himself with regards to the subject of the "Orgon". Why? Because, even if there are some doubts about whether "his" discoveries are really his: they say they were made by his wife, whose silence he consequently bought by giving her the money he won for the Nobel Prize, and/or by other scientists (de PETTRO, POINCARÉ, LORENTZ, ...); it is totally true that he was repeatedly a terrible father: he abandoned his first child (it is not known where) and he made his other children disown him. A pervert in his paternal function (who, because of that same reason, probably didn't enjoy any kind of relationship, and even less any conflicts) EINSTEIN couldn't support REICH's movement, because it showed great concern for children's health.

Among many other things\*, REICH stated that the health of children was totally related to the mother's pregnancy, the birth and the breastfeeding. A cause-effect relationship, completely ignored at the time, for which we have to consider REICH's theory revolutionary. Today it is an unquestionable reality and **of huge social importance**, as REICH already knew at the time. The fact that REICH believed in the existance of the "Orgon" and its healing effects as an explanation of the correctly detected cause-effect phenomenon, ¿what does it matter?\*\*\* It only shows his good intentions and his human limitations, even more justifiable due to the extreme circumstances he had to live in.

\* His investigations and input about psychosomatization, the inheritance that generated (A. LOWEN, ...), ...

\*\*\* And in fact, it is not as serious as that, because the "Orgon" is nothing else but the ether, according to REICH. Ether yes, ether not. With semantic variations according to the needs of each moment, the "need" of ether has been a constant in science, not only for REICH. For instance, these days thousands of scientists with high economic resources (like the LHC of CERN) try to understand if the last conceptualization of the ether (the "HIGGS field") really exists or if it's a new edition of the first ethers and spiritualities, just like the "Orgon" (see "[Holistic Theory](#)").

Which is more serious is that seventy years later many health professionals **still ignore this transcendental cause-effect relationship**. It is easy to see that, to this day, many people still don't understand what it was obvious to REICH 70 years ago. For instance, I still **don't know** any pediatrian who, in a first visit, is interested in all the details of the gestational history, the birth and the breastfeeding of my children. Why should he/ she be interested?

Because these precedents can condition the interpretation of the symptoms and, particularly, the treatment of the pathology/ disfunction which may have consequently happened.

Even worse, these days many geneticists look, stupidly, for genetic causes to intelligence or autism (a subject that is of concern because in the US the cases of autism have multiplied by 1000 in the last decades, coinciding precisely with medication during pregnancy and multiple and generalised vaccination campaigns). See "[What is intelligence?](#)" (in Catalan language) and "[What causes Autism?](#)" (translate to English).

**NOTE:** In "Documents" of this Website (<http://www.sistemaconceptual.org/en/ressources.html>) they are **abstracts in English** of all documents.

With the integrated knowledge that we have today, it seemed necessary to me to write "[Children's rights](#)" (in Catalan) –which at the same time was an emotional entertainment during a few afternoons–, which resulted, however, in a detailed and exhaustive exposition of the reasons that differentiate long term a healthy person (physically and mentally, see example in "[The Lancet](#)") from another one who is not or who has trouble being healthy. It is a detailed exposition of the many reasons why an adult has a certain mentality or another, or even reaches a certain level of intelligence or another.

For many health professionals and scientists, "[Children's rights](#)" makes them uncomfortable and/or is not perceived as a "scientific" writing. However, as a reader commented:

"I have never seen such an **entertaining and scientifically well-founded** document. I cannot understand why Universities, Medicine Faculties, **doctors, gynecologists and pediatricians ignore all of this**, but **one person can integrate all this information in a single website**".

The hundred or more "requirements" of "[Children's rights](#)" could be the draft of a "**test**" to **determine the readiness of a mother** (or a father) to be a good mother (or a good father), or at least to have the will to be one. At the same time, it also helps to **explain the causes** of the health of any child, depending on how well the exposed "requirements" were respected when he/ she was a newborn (see for instance "[What causes Autism](#)" and/or "[The Lancet](#)").

Having explained the above, one can understand how REICH, as well as NIETZSCHE and many others, ended up becoming crazy. What it is not understandable is why, due to economic and/or professional interests, a duty-bound obedience, a lack of intellectual honesty, or to whichever other reason, some medical practices, as unhealthy and aggressive as some gynecological, pediatric and psychiatric practices still in use today, have been institutionalised. It is also difficult to understand how some very scandalous brochures, like the one on new-born babies published by the "[Public Health Agency of the Barcelona Town Hall](#)" (see the end of the "[Children's rights](#)") are still written and divulged in the 21st century.

#### ADDENDUM 2010

The author suggests, also, the documents written much more subsequently:

- "[Children's right at BioCultura 2009](#)"
- "[The 'miracle' of a 23 week very premature newborn: ¿matter or information?](#)" ([www.holistictheory.org/mm/file/Miracle23setmanes.pdf](http://www.holistictheory.org/mm/file/Miracle23setmanes.pdf)),

(in Catalan, with English abstracts) which is being translated from Catalan to English.

Documents translated now in English

Other documents (some in English, or abstract in English)

De Wilhelm REICH, Michel ODEnt escull la següent frase:

"La civilización comenzará el día en que la preocupación por el bienestar de los recién nacidos prevalecerá sobre todas las demás"